

1 JOHN A. RUSSO, City Attorney, SBN 129729
RANDOLPH W. HALL, Assistant City Atty., SBN 080142
2 JAMES F. HODGKINS, Supervising Trial Atty., SBN 142561
CHARLES E. VOSE, Senior Deputy City Atty., SBN 139700
3 KANDIS A. WESTMORE, Deputy City Atty., SBN 194594
One Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, 6th Floor
4 Oakland, California 94612
Telephone: (510) 238-3589, Fax: (510) 238-6500
5 25581/462125

6 Attorneys for Defendants,
CITY OF OAKLAND, OAKLAND
7 POLICE DEPARTMENT, CHIEF WAYNE
TUCKER, SGT. BERNARD ORTIZ
8

9
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

13 MIGUEL ORTEGA, BENJAMIN ORTEGA,
14
15 Plaintiffs,

16 v.

17 CITY OF OAKLAND, OAKLAND POLICE
DEPARTMENT, WAYNE TUCKER, In His
18 Capacity as the Police Chief of the City of
Oakland, RAMON J. ALCANTAR, Individually
and in his capacity as a Police Officer for the
19 City of Oakland, B. ORTIZ, Individually and in
his capacity as a Police Officer for the City of
20 Oakland, DOES 1 THROUGH 200,

21 Defendants.
22

Case No. C-07-02659 (JCS)

**DECLARATION OF CHARLES VOSE IN
SUPPORT OF REPLY TO PLAINTIFFS'
OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS'
MOTION TO STRIKE SECOND
AMENDED COMPLAINT NINTH
CAUSE OF ACTION AND MOTION FOR
SANCTIONS**

Date: September 19, 2008
Time: 9:30 a.m.
Dept.: Courtroom A, 15th Floor
The Honorable Joseph C. Spero

23 I, Charles Vose, declare:

24 1. I am an attorney licensed to practice before all courts of the State of California and
25 am a Senior Deputy City Attorney with the Office of the City Attorney of Oakland, one of the
26 attorney's of record for defendants herein. The matters set forth herein are known to me to be true,

1 and if called upon, I could competently testify thereto.


2 2. On or about June 27, 2007, I filed a Motion to Dismiss in this action, pursuant to
3 F.R.C.P. 12(b)(6), on behalf of defendants City of Oakland and the Oakland Police Department. At
4 the time I filed the Motion to Dismiss, these were the only defendants who I represented. I further
5 determined these were the only defendants who had been properly served and upon who's behalf I
6 could file the Motion to Dismiss.

7 2. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of a September 4, 2007 letter
8 I received from Ms. Catherine Douat, counsel for plaintiffs in this action.

9 3. I reviewed the draft of Ms. Douat's proposed First Amended Complaint that
10 accompanied her September 4, 2007 letter. I determined it addressed all of the issues in our
11 pending Motion to Dismiss as well as the other issues raised by Mr. Kee concerning other
12 infirmities in the complaint. Attached hereto as Exhibit B is a true and correct copy of a September
13 7, 2007 letter I sent to Ms. Catherine Douat, counsel for plaintiffs in this action agreeing to
14 withdraw the City's pending Motion to Dismiss.

15 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing
16 is true and correct.

17 Executed this 25th day of July, 2008, at Oakland, California.

18 
19
20 CHARLES VOSE
21
22
23
24
25
26